



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,285	07/30/2003	Robert W. Hulvey	BP2482	9709

34399 7590 06/13/2006

GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON
P.O. BOX 160727
AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727

EXAMINER

JACKSON, BLANE J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2618

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/630,285	Applicant(s) HULVEY, ROBERT W.	
	Examiner Blane J. Jackson	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 6 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-6,9-16,19,20 and 23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-6,9-16,19,20 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION***Response to Arguments***

The applicant's amendment filed 05 April 2006 fully address the 35 USC 112 issues raised in the Non Final rejection. The applicant's arguments in view of the amendment to the claims has been fully considered but are not persuasive. Essentially, the applicant argues that the primary prior art Challa does not differentiate between analog and digital components in the receiver illustrated in figure 2 for the claimed control of a power management unit. However, as represented in the following Final Rejection, Challa teaches a wireless device with identified separate components, a transceiver (20), controller (24), demodulator (28), memory (34) and sleep clock (32), system clock (31) and search module (26) where each are identified as analog or digital functional elements under control of controller (24) to reduce or eliminate power consumption of each identified component in the sleep mode of the wireless device (16), paragraphs 0027 and 0031.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6, 9-12, 16, 19, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challa et al. (US 2003/0144020) with a view to Karlquist et al. (US 2003/0203722).

As to claims 1, 6, 16 and 20, Challa teaches an apparatus, method and system for managing power in a wireless interface system that services communications between a wirelessly enable host and at least one user input device comprising:

A wireless interface unit that wirelessly interfaces with the wirelessly enabled host (figure 1, a wireless communication system (WCD) including radiotelephones, PCMCIA cards and personal digital assistants, paragraph 0003), said wireless interface unit comprising an analog module and a baseband core digital module (paragraph 0027, analog module: transceiver unit comprising a LNA, RF mixer and A/D converter, and a digital module: paragraphs 0029 and 0030, controller (24) forwards received chips to demodulator (28) for CDMA type demodulation),

A clock generator operable to generate first and second clock signals corresponding to first and second operating states of the wireless interface unit wherein during said first operating state said analog module is fully operational and during said second operating state said analog module is in a reduced power mode and is not fully operational (paragraphs 0025 and 0031, figure 2, controller (24) to select a sleep clock (32) for the system sleep mode or a system clock (21) where one or both comprise the "clock generator" and the controller (24), during sleep mode, may reduce or laminate power consumption of various internal components including the transmitter/receiver

(20), defined as an analog component in paragraph 0027 or the demodulator (28) defined as a digital component or module as defined in paragraph 0030).

A processing unit operably coupled to the wireless interface unit (controller (24) coupled to transmitter/ receiver (20)),

A power management unit operably coupled to the wireless interface unit, the processing unit and the clock generator (figure 2, the controller (24) combines these functions) wherein the power management unit controls the power consumption of the wireless interface device by providing a *first control signal to select a clock* of the clock generator when the wireless interface unit is in the first operating state and providing a *second control signal to select a clock* of the clock generator when the wireless interface unit is in the second operating state (paragraph 0025; the wireless communication device (WCD) selects the use of a relatively low frequency, low power sleep clock (32) during sleep mode and alternatively a high power, high accuracy system clock (31) when in the active state).

Challa further indicates the two operating state clocks may be a single clock that can operate as both system clock and sleep clock to control power consumption, paragraph 0025, but does not teach the power management unit or controller (24) controls the power consumption by providing a *first power level or a second power level* to the clock generator when the wireless interface unit is in the respective operating state.

Karlquist teaches a method to reduce power consumption in a receiver through the bias control of the mixer, RF amplifiers and local oscillator with respect to a standby

Art Unit: 2618

or full operating mode, figure 1, paragraphs 0011-0019. Karlquist teaches a local oscillator in the form of a phase locked loop where current consumption in the PLL can be reduced at the expense of phase noise by lowering the VCO oscillator bias current, figure 2, paragraphs 0020-0021.

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the example of the two or single clock of Challa with the bias controlled PLL of Karlquist to provide a simple approach to saving power and meet system requirements in the sleep or fully active operating states.

As to claim 4, Challa teaches processing unit voltage regulation circuitry couples the processing unit to a voltage source wherein the power management unit controls the operation of the processing unit voltage regulation circuitry to controllably power the processing unit (paragraph 0031, the controller (24) function combines the processing unit and power management unit and may *reduce or eliminate power consumption of various internal components of the WCD (16)* and paragraph 0040: cause various internal components to power down or otherwise enter a low power (voltage/ current) state).

As to claims 5, 9, 19 and 23 with respect to claims 1, 6, 16 and 20, Challa teaches the wireless interface device enters one of a plurality of power consumption operating states comprising:

Busy mode in which all components of the wireless interface device are powered and operational (WCD may operate in one of more of three defined modes including the awake mode, paragraphs 0040 and 0044),

Idle mode in which the wireless interface unit performs first power conserving operations (intermediate wake period where specific components are powered up, paragraph 0040 and 0041),

Suspend mode in which the wireless interface performs second power conserving operations (one of several intermediate wake periods, paragraphs 0024 and 0040),

Power down mode in which the wireless interface unit and the processing unit are powered down (sleep mode, paragraph 0040).

As to claim 10 with respect to claim 9, Challa teaches the wireless interface unit periodically communicates with the wirelessly enable host in the idle mode (powers up components in the intermediate mode to receive expected timed pilot signal, paragraph 0041).

As to claim 11 with respect to claim 9, Challa teaches the wireless interface unit does not transmit to the wirelessly enable host and the wireless interface unit listens to the transmission of the wirelessly enable host in the suspend mode (intermediate wake period, paragraph 0041).

As to claim 12 with respect to claim 11, Challa teaches the power management unit powers down the wireless interface unit and the processing unit after at least one inactivity period during which the at least one user input device is inactive with respect to the input/ output unit (sleep mode, paragraph 0040).

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Challa et al. (US 2003/0144020) and Karlquist et al. (US 2003/0203722) with a view to Zeng (US 2003/0173829).

As to claims 13-15 with respect to claim 6, Challa of Challa modified teaches the wireless communication device (WCD) comprises a cellular radiotelephone, satellite radiotelephone, a PCMCIA card, a personal digital assistant (PDA) all equipped with wireless communication capabilities or the like with power consumption control, paragraph 0018, but does not specifically teach a WCD in the form of a keypad or cursor control device.

Zeng teaches information processing facilities including PDAs an battery powered I/O and/or wireless communications devices such as handsets, electronic mouse, keyboards, trackballs, game controller and PC cameras a desired to comprise low power consumption, paragraph 0004. Zeng, with specific reference to a wireless mouse, teaches the device should include means, a sound activation, to wake-up the device from a sleep mode, paragraph 0019.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to realize the wireless communication device of Challa comprising the devices with the usual user inputs as taught by Zeng for user control of the wireless device.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blane J. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJJ


EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600